

Attachment Q1-R: Ranking Criteria Form*

*See Question 1 Administrative Regulations, Section 33 for reference.

The Administrator shall rank projects utilizing a point system outlined in the Division’s Administrative Guidelines. Points will be allocated to each of the following topics. Since the intent of the program is to protect, preserve and obtain the benefits of property and natural resources of the State of Nevada, “environmental significance” and “public benefit” will receive higher point scores than the other ranking criteria.

To assist the Administrator, the Applicant shall self-rank the proposed project against the six criteria or topics described below. The applicant’s scores shall be utilized to preliminary rank projects. Final rankings utilizing a similar point system will be determined by the Administrator. Each topic shall be self-scored 0 through 10, a zero (0) score indicating the lesser extent of significance relative to the criteria, 10 (ten) indicating the greatest amount of significance. Scores indicated for Environmental Significance and Public Benefit shall be weighted by a factor of 3 (three). Total possible score is 100 points.

Each self-score shall be followed by an attached, written rationale explaining how the proposal addresses each specific criteria.

1. **Environmental Significance:**

- The extent of environmental significance and degree of conservation and natural resource protection including, but not limited to, the preservation of a natural, scientific, cultural, archaeological, agricultural, paleontological or historical site, or a wetland or riparian resource.

Self-score:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	(X 3 =)

2. **Public Benefit**

- The extent of the public benefit including, but not limited to, an overall advancement in the conservation and protection of the natural resources of the state, an enhancement to recreational opportunities, increased public access to lands and waters and the achievement of goals identified in adopted open space plans.

Self-score:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	(X 3 =)

3. **Proposal Objectives/Ability to Implement:**

- The objectives of the proposal are clearly stated and the applicant has the ability to carry out the objectives of the proposal.
- The project is detailed, the design is adequate and there is a detailed management plan included that specifies how the project will be maintained and be consistent with the intent of the Program.

Self-score:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

4. **Proposal Costs/Matches:**

- The projected budget and associated costs of the proposal are reasonable and detailed, the amount and sources of matching funds contributed by the applicant are listed and the proposal meets its objectives in a cost effective manner.

Self-score:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

5. **Cooperative Efforts/Outreach:**

- The proposal is a cooperative effort with other agencies, organizations or individuals.
- The extent of support from counties, municipalities and other public entities.

Self-score:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

6. **Other Considerations:**

- There is urgency for the action.
- The applicant utilizes matching contributions that exceed the program requirements.
- The application for acquisition of land includes water rights or other interests that will remain with the land in perpetuity.
- There is a local need for the proposal that warrants special consideration due to a lack of similar opportunities in the area.
- If considering an alternative to an acquisition of fee simple title, the applicant proposes an easement or remainders after life estate (conservation easement).

Self-score:	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Attachment Q1-R: Ranking Criteria Form

Total Score = _____

Self-score completed by: _____

Date: _____

Applicant: *Please attach written rationale for self-scoring.*

Note: The applicant's self-score will be used by the Administrator to preliminarily rank project applications. Final rankings will be based on the point system described in the Nevada Division of State Lands Administrative Guidelines – Question 1 Program.